

Task:

Reducing global environmental damage should be handled by governments rather than individuals.

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Despite many efforts to conserve ~~the~~ nature, environmental ~~devastating~~ devastation is known as an area of concern among many individuals. Although, the majority of experts claim that it is statesmen's responsibility to settle this issue, others argue that it is incumbent upon both governments and people to address this issue. My personal notion in this regard is close to that of the latter group.

Members of the public Humankind, from my point of view, plays a vital role since most of their activities lead to air, water and also soil pollution. For instance, nowadays most individuals use their own cars even though they are aware of adverse effects of carbon fuels emissions on air, so if they exploit the public transportation system, we would observe a significant decline in ~~of~~ air pollution. Moreover, because of the huge amount of waste, which is ~~are~~ produced by mankind, soil and water in many areas around the world is-are contaminated. Thus, not only should people use recyclable products, but also avoid ~~to leave~~ leaving their garbage in nature.

On the other hand, governments' activities are a decisive factor in reducing the ~~nature~~ damages to nature. From my perspective, urban sprawl is one of the most serious threats to nature because we cannot do this without destroying the environments, so governments must take responsibility for **sustainable developments** and protection of the environments. In addition, harnessing renewable energies besides preventing individuals from deforestation, poaching and logging are a must if we have a desire to save the mother ~~of~~ earth. Therefore, politicians should provide essential infrastructures as well as introduce stringent laws against such detrimental activities.

In conclusion, my personal conviction is that governments and individuals supplement each other in order to reduce global ecosystem damages. Simply put, the more cooperation, the less our **catastrophic environmental footprint**.